



100GbE Per Lambda for Data Center Workshop Panel4: Wrap-up Session

June 12 – 13, 2014

THANK YOU TO OUR SPONSORS!

Platinum Sponsor



Associate Sponsor







Thanks to Our Planning Committee

- > John D'Ambrosia (Dell), Ethernet Alliance
- Tom Hausken, OIDA
- Howard Frazier, Broadcom
- Mark Nowell, Cisco
- Tom Fawcett, JDSU
- Dale Murray, LightCounting





Summary Impressions (1 of 4)

- > Initial strong industry interest
 - Feedback great to see the quality of analysis done
- Users have diverse needs, but dominated by smaller set of large companies
 - Reach (Key target reach 500m to 2km SMF)
 - Bandwidth
 - Architecture





Summary Impressions (2 of 4)

- Total Cost of ownership needs to be considered
 - Module
 - System
 - Cabling
 - Support
 - Test equipment
 - Qualifications
 - Etc.
 - Fragmentation this two ways:
 - N times the costs above
 - Volumes of each niche are smaller.
 - Development cost of optics is much greater than electronics in modules. Consideration between optics / electronics for solution optimization.





Summary Impressions (3 of 4)

- > 1x100G seems to be technically feasible within the lifetime of IEEE P802.3bs (400GbE)
 - Very smart people disagreeing on approach (PAM4 versus DMT)
 - ➤ Why Do 2x50G
 - ➤ Need for common channels and parameters for evaluating different solutions.
 - Historical points of reference where there was over-optimism
- ➤ More data on the debate between 25 to 100 versus 25—50—100 needed.
- > 400GbE need timeframe?





Summary Impressions (4 of 4)

- Agreement on 100GbE single lambda as ultimate solution, but....
 - >What is it?
 - > When is it needed?
- Standards have played a role in high volume / successful technologies.
 - ➤ Is past performance an indication of future behavior?
 - > Different markets with different needs?





Actions

- Further research / analysis needed on 100GbE single lambda solutions
- > If not in standards body, need a forum to continue industry discussion
- Industry discussion on market fragmentation?



